

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,048	04/02/2004	Viktor Keller	P-5550-1-C1-1-C1	2263	
23454	7590 07/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY			GORR, RACHEL F		
	RFORD ROAD CA 92008-7328		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			: 1711		
			DATE MAIL ED 07/00/000	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/a)	
		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/817,048	KELLER ET AL.	- <u></u>
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Rachel F. Gorr	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statute cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. ARANDONED (35 LLOCS 433)	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0. Juno 2005		
F	Fhis action is non-final.	·	
, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		thous mass sufference to the second	
 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under 	er Ev narte Ouaulo, 1035 O	mers, prosecution as to the merits in	S
	ਾ ਛਨ parte Quayle, 1930 U.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 273.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.11 and 13-15 is/are pend	ding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8,11 and 13-15</u> is/are reject	cted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	liner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		hu tha Eugasia sa	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	the drawing(s) he held in show	by the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn			n
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Evaminer Note the attache	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d) .
	LAdininer. Note the attacht	ed Office Action or form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6 	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	DEL : OH INDUCE OF	monnal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/817,048 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. This claim contains the same limitations as claim 11.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keller (6,100,361).

Keller discloses golf ball covers comprising a copolymer of toluene diisocyanate (TDI) and hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) reacted with polyester or polyether polyols (see example or with polyamines polyamides alkyds and epoxy resins (col. 3, line 45).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller in view of Lutz (5,977,417).
- 6. Keller discloses a method of making a golf ball component from a copolymer of TDI and HDI (see examples). He shows reacting the polyisocyanate with polyester or

Art Unit: 1711

polyether polyols (see examples). In In col. 3, line 20, he shows that these diol can have equivalent weights of 50, which would mean that they would act as a chain extender. He differs from the claims by not disclosing molding the cover or by not using his composition as an intermediate layer.

- 7. Lutz discloses reaction injection molding of golf ball coatings (col. 8, lines 6-22) and he teaches that more than one coating layer can be used (col. 2, lines 46-47).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mold the coatings of Keller because Lutz teaches this method for obtaining uniform coatings (abstract) and it would have been obvious to use two coating layers, thereby forming an intermediate layer as shown by Lutz.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,048

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G. July 26, 2005

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER